SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCSBN 9990) 1 United States Attorney THOMAS MOORE (ASBN 4305-078T) 2 Assistant United States Attorney Acting Chief, Tax Division 3 DAVID L. DENIER (CSBN 95024) Assistant United States Attorney 4 9th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 5 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6888 6 (415) 436-6748 Fax: 7 Attorneys for United States of America

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

VICKI R. SEIDEL,)
,	No. C-07-03141-JF
Plaintiff,	
,) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
v.) STATEMENT AND
) PROPOSED ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	<u> </u>
Defendant.) DATE: NOVEMBER 30, 2007
) TIME: 10:30 A.M.

The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this Case Management Statement and Proposed Order and request the Court to adopt it as its Case Management Order in this case.

DESCRIPTION OF CASE

1. A brief description of the events underlying the action:

This is a wrongful levy brought by plaintiff pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7426. Plaintiff sought a preliminary injunction ordering the Internal Revenue Service to return \$321.30 allegedly seized from Washington Mutual Bank, account no. 0980711488 on or about May 29, 2007, ordering the Internal Revenue Service to return any monies seized pursuant to a levy of plaintiff's salary and restraining the Internal Revenue Service from further levies on plaintiff's salary. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary was denied on July 16, 2007. On July 25, 2007, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. Pursuant to plaintiff/appellant's motion for voluntary dismissal, the appeal was dismissed on September 20, 2007.

1

Joint CMS & Proposed Order No. C-07-03141-JF

1

5

10

15

22

26

IRS to pay assessments made against her husband, Thomas E. Seidel. She seeks a permanent injunction against the IRS from further levies. She also seeks damages pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7426(h) in the amount of \$1,000,000. 2. The principal factual issues which the parties dispute:

Plaintiff seeks the return of monies she alleges were a wrongfully levied and seized by the

- - (1) Whether the IRS wrongfully levied property of the plaintiff. See 26 U.S.C. § 7426.
- (2) Whether Revenue Officer Joe Smith recklessly or intentionally, or by reason of negligence, disregarded any provision of the Internal Revenue Code in taking the collection action at issue. See 26 U.S.C. § 7426(h).
- (3) If Revenue Officer Joe Smith recklessly or intentionally, or by reason of negligence, disregarded any provision of the Internal Revenue Code in taking the collection action at issue, what damages if any did plaintiff suffer. See 26 U.S.C. § 7426(h).
 - 3. The principal legal issue[s] which the parties dispute: None.
- 4. The other factual issues [e.g. service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter *jurisdiction or venue*] which remain unresolved for the reason stated below and how the parties propose to resolve those issues:

None.

- 5. The parties which have not been served and the reasons: None.
- 6. The additional parties which the below-specified parties intend to join and the intended time frame for such joinder?

None.

7. The following parties consent to assignment of this case to a United States Magistrate Judge for [court or jury] trial:

Defendant United States declines to consent to assignment of this case to a United States Magistrate Judge because the case has been thoroughly briefed in response to the motion for preliminary injunction.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION 1 2 8. [Please indicate the appropriate response(s).] The case was automatically assigned to Nonbinding Arbitration at filing and will be ready 3 or the hearing by (date) 4 The parties have filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR process 5 (specify process): The parties filed a Notice of Need ro ADR Phone Conference and the hone conference 6 was held on or is scheduled for 7 The parties have not filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR process 8 X and the ADR process that the parties jointly request [or a party separately requests] is 9 10 9. Please indicate any other information regarding ADR process or deadline: 11 The government respectfully submits that this case may be subject to disposition by summary judgment motion. 12 13 **DISCLOSURES** 10. The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures *[list disclosures of the content of the* 14 15 persons, documents, damage computation and insurance agreements]: 16 The relevant documents have been presented to the plaintiff previously in response to her 17 motion for preliminary injunction.. The government will provide any other relevant documents that the plaintiff requires. 18 19 **DISCOVERY** 20 11. The parties agree to the following discovery plan [Describe the plan e.g., any limitation 21 on the number, duration or subject matter for various kinds of discovery; discovery from experts; 22 deadlines for completing discovery]: At this time, the United States does not anticipate any formal discovery. 23 24 TRIAL SCHEDULE 12. The parties request a trial date as follows: 25 26 The government suggests that the court set a schedule for a summary judgment motion. If summary judgment is not granted, the factual issues should be narrowed by the motion. 27 /// 28

Case 5:07-cv-03141-JF Document 36

Filed 11/21/2007

Page 4 of 4

No. C-07-03141-JF